



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,780	10/24/2001	Yasuo Kitaoka	10873.826US01	3107
7:	590 08/13/2003			
Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903			EXAMINER	
			VY, HUNG T	
winnicapons, iv	114 33402-0903			
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828 DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>		
Office Action Summary	10/041,780	VANDENAMEELE PATRICK	-LEPLA,		
· Onice Action Guilliary	Examin r .	Art Unit			
	Hung T Vy	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shat with thac	orrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, mmunication.		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	•		e merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <b>Disposition of Claims</b>	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	•		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	ı <b>.</b>				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception to the convenient of the					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ved by the Examina	<b>31.</b>		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority under de e.e.e. 3 i requ	, (a) 51 (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu	rity documents have been receive		Stage		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.			
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(6	e) (to a provisional	application).		
<ul> <li>a)    The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)    Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· <u> </u>	r (PTO-413) Paper No( Patent Application (PT			
S. Patent and Trademark Office			•		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. In response to the amendment filed on 03/24/2003, claims 1-22 are pending in this application.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1- 7, 9, 11-12, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Yamanoto et al., U.S. patent No. 5,936,985.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, Yamanoto et al. discloses a coherent light source comprising: a source emitting light (42) having a first wavelength; and a wavelength converting device for converting (22a) the wavelength of the first light by half (See column 2, line 2-68), the wavelength converting device (22a) converting the first light into harmonic light having a second wavelength (P2) (See fig 14,15), wherein the wavelength of the first light is detected (28) and controlled to controlled to a desired wavelength, so that the wavelength of the second light is controlled (See column 9, line 44-56).

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claims 2-4, Yamanoto et al. discloses the coherent light source, wherein the first light is emitted from a semiconductor laser having a wavelength variable function (See column 3, line 25-34), the semiconductor laser comprises an active region (44), a phase control region (41) and a distributed Bragg reflection (DBR) region (40). (See column 9, line 12-22) and the desired wavelength is within a phase-matching wavelength tolerance of the wavelength converting device (See column 5, line 20-34), and a variation In wavelength of the first light with a change in operating current thereof is compensated by changing current to be input to the phase control region or the DBR region (See column 5, line 47-63).

Regarding claim 7, Yamamoto et al. disclose the coherent light source, wherein a means for separating (Splitter 27) the fundamental light and the harmonic light (See fig 1).

Regarding claims 5 and, Yamamoto et al. disclose a first mechanism that detects the wavelength of the first light (28) and control it to a desired wavelength and with admitted from applicant that second mechanism that control a phase-matching wavelength of the wavelength converting device to the wavelength of the first light is DBR region and active region of laser so Yamamoto et al. disclose the DBR region (40) and active region(42) and phase control (41) (See fig 1 and column 8, line 48-63).

Regarding claim 18, Yamamoto et al. disclose the coherent light source, wherein a means for separating (Splitter 27) the fundamental light and the harmonic light (See fig 1).

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claims 9,11-12, Yamamoto et al. disclose the wavelength-converting (22a) device has an optical waveguide (2), and the diffraction grating is formed on the optical waveguide (See fig 1), the photo-detector (28) is provide on one side of a substrate (1) on which the optical waveguide (2) is formed (see fig 1).

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth insection 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8,10, 13-16, 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al., U.S. patent No. 5,936,985 in view of Kitaoka et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,960,259.

Regarding claim 8, 10 and 19, Yammoto et al. disclose all limitation of claim but Yamamoto et al. dose not disclose a diffraction grating. However, Kitaka et al. disclose diffraction grating (in wavemeter 105) (See fig. 1).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify having diffraction grating because those skilled in the art will recognize that such modification and variations can be made without

Art Unit: 2828

departing from the spirit of the invention. It would have been obvious to provide Yamamoto et al. with the limitations as taught or suggested by Yitaoka et al.

Regarding claims 13,15-16 and 20-22, Yamamoto et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a cesium (Cs) gas cell. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to a cesium (Cs) gas cell, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claim 14, Kitaoka et al. disclose the coherent light source, wherein the phase-matching wavelength of the wavelength converting device is varied by changing a refractive index of the wavelength converting device With electrooptic effect or temperature change (See column 4, line 1-8).

### **Response to Arguments**

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 have been considered have been fully considered and are persuasive but after interview on 08/12/2003, examiner has corrected mistake on the pervious final rejection. Respect on argument of applicant on claim 1 is not persuasive. The applicant argues the wavelength of the first light is being monitored by the coherent light source so that when a desired wavelength is detected; the wavelength of the second light generated by the wavelength-converting device is controlled at a desired level. Yamamoto et al. teaches the wavelength of the first light is being monitored by the coherent light source (27,28) so that when a desired

Art Unit: 2828

wavelength is detected (28); the wavelength of the second light generated by the wavelength-converting device is controlled at a desired level as fig 1 or rejection above. The claim dose not recite that ONLY the wavelength of the first light is detected and controlled to a desired wavelength so the detector (28) detects the wavelength of the first and/or second light (See fig. 1). Further the application does not recite any controller device to control the wavelength. With respect to the argument of claim 5 is persuasive but after interview on 08/11/2003, the examiner has corrected some mistake on the pervious final rejection.

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung VY whose telephone number is (703) 605-0759. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30 am - 5:30pm. If

Art Unit: 2828

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul IP can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

QUYEN LEUNG PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hung T. Vy Art Unit 2828

August 11, 2003